

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/413,728	10/06/1999	THOMAS J. MARSAN	B-65583(0143	2353	
20594 7	590 05/14/2002				
CHRISTOPHER J. ROURK AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P. P O BOX 688			EXAMINER		
			BORISSOV, IGOR N		
DALLAS, TX 75313-0688			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3629		
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Ne

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	/\		
Office Action Summary		09/413,728	MARSAN ET AL.	,		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Igor Borissov	3629			
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app ply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address -	•		
THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing in term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communica NED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	ution.		
1)☐ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)☐ This	s action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since Sin	ce this application is in condition for allowa sed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> f Claims	nce except for formal matters, Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	prosecution as to the merit , 453 O.G. 213.	s is		
4)⊠ Clair	m(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Clair	m(s) <u>1,2,4-10 and 16-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Clair	m(s) <u>3,11-15 and 20</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Clair	n(s) are subject to restriction and/or apers	election requirement.				
9)∏ The s	pecification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) <u></u> The d	rawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by the Ex	caminer.			
Арр	licant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The p	roposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
If ap	oproved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.				
12)∏ The o	ath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)∏ Ackn	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)∐ All	b) Some * c) None of:					
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
	e attached detailed Office action for a list o	•				
	wledgment is made of a claim for domestic			ation).		
	The translation of the foreign language provowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachment(s)		_				
2) D Notice of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)	_ ·		
J.S. Patent and Trademark PTO-326 (Rev. 04-0		ion Summary	Part of Paper N	lo. 3		

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 11, it is not clear what aspect of a retrieval request code causes the prohibition to occur.

As per claim 12, it is not clear what aspect of issuing bank data causes the prohibition to occur.

As per claim 13, it is not clear what aspect of bankcard agency data causes the prohibition to occur.

As per claim 14, it is not clear what aspect of transaction amount data causes the prohibition to occur.

As per claim 15, it is not clear what aspect of card user data causes the prohibition to occur.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3629

Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nair et al. (U. S. 5,479,530).

Nair et al. teach to an apparatus and method for capturing a signature applied to a receipt, comprising:

As per claims 1, 8 and 9, 10:

- a substitute draft system operable to receive a retrieval request and to generate a substitute draft in response (a transaction processor receives a retrieval request and reproduces the receipt) (Fig. 33; column 68, lines 9-28);
- a merchant system operable to generate a merchant request in response to the retrieval request (transaction processors respond to the retrieval requests on behalf merchants) (column 67, lines 62-63);

As per claim 2, the term "inhibit system" encompasses transaction processor (See Fig. 2, item 12);

As per claims 4 and 5,

- merchant system operable to receive the merchant request and retrieve identification data in response (the host computer 40 of the transaction processor causes a receipt file stored in the storage to be searched) (column 68, lines 14-16);

As per claims 6 and 7,

- bankcard system and bank system operable to generate the retrieval request and receive the substitute draft (bank card association generate a retrieval request and receive reproduced receipt) (column 18, lines 30-31; column 68, lines 9-28, 36-39). The bankcard association comprises banks that issue credit cards (column 17, lines 20-27).

Art Unit: 3629

As per claims 16/19,

- a bank system operable to generate the retrieval request in response to user-entered data (bank generate a retrieval request) (column 18, lines 30-31; column 68, lines 9-28);
- a bankcard system comprising banks operable to receive the retrieval request from the banks (column 17, lines 20-27; column 18, lines 30-31; column 68, lines 9-28);
- a transaction system coupled to the bankcard system operable to receive the retrieval request and to generate a substitute draft and a merchant request (column 18, lines 30-31; column 67, lines 62-63; column 68, lines 9-28);
- a merchant system coupled to the merchant interface and operable to receive the merchant request and generate sales draft data in response (column 67, lines 62-63).

As per claims 17-19, the transaction system (processor) is operable to receive bank system data, card user data and transaction amount data with the retrieval request and to generate a substitute draft in response (column 67, lines 62-67; column 68, lines 4-6, 11-14).

Claims 3 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 11-15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3629

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Price et al.

5,561,282

Rouse

5,860,066

Camp et al.

6,317,729

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

JAMES P. TRAMMELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100